

05:00 25 Jun 2020

## How viable are Pretium's new plans for Brucejack? Digbee's latest research shows sophisticated investors a clear path through the numbers

Brucejack, in north-western British Columbia, is a great-looking mine. No-one disagrees with that. It's been up and running for a couple of years now, and bringing gold out of the ground at the rate of several hundred of thousands of ounces a year.

But it's also nuggetty, prone to uncertainties as to grade, and has been and may well continue to be the subject of repeated resource recalculations.

That's why the latest mine plan has been the subject of such intense interest, including from the highly-trained specialist analysts at Digbee Research.

This mine plan, released in April 2020, shows a reduced grade, a re-jigging of the resource at the Valley of the Kings zone, minor changes to the stope design and certain updated assumptions as to costs and commodity prices.

Partly because of these factors, Pretium's share price hasn't leapt in the same way that those of other gold producers have in this new plus-US\$1,700 environment. On the other hand, the potential now, given that the new plan is in place and working, is that a re-rating might be on offer.

It all depends on how well the mine plan works, but getting a clear handle on predicting how effective it will be isn't easy, given the complex science that's at work across multiple disciplines.

And this is where Digbee really comes into its own.

Digbee offers bespoke project-specific research on all technical aspects of a given operation, and provides, for a fee, its conclusions to interested parties, usually institutions, private equity or other sophisticated investors.

One such party recently challenged Digbee's founder and driving force Jamie Strauss to get his analysts to run the rule over Brucejack, and the results were intriguing.

First Strauss sourced some of the most experienced names in the business to put together the research. At the top of the bill is Christian Siebert, an engineer who started his career with AngloGold Ashanti, and who went on to garner experience in private equity and investment banking.

Then there's Ken Lomberg on the geological side, a man known to many as the head of SAMREC, the body that draws up the South African resource reporting code, and who also represents SAMREC on the international co-ordinating committee of resource reporting, known as CRIRSCO.

And on the metallurgy side Strauss brought in Ted Crowie, a man with experience working on plants at many different operations, and who has recently cast his analytical eye over operations like Hope Bay and the Greenwood gold project.

### Share Information

**Code:** DIG

**Listing:** Unlisted (UK)

**Sector:** General mining & base metals

**Website:** [thedigbee.com](http://thedigbee.com)

### Company Synopsis:

*Digbee is the new data and research platform for the mining industry. The launch of Digbee Research brings a fresh dimension to Mining analysis - its mission is to mitigate risk, improve confidence and encourage a stronger mining community to benefit all.*

[action@proactiveinvestors.com](mailto:action@proactiveinvestors.com)

The overall score that these three Digbee analysts gave to Brucejack remains available only to those willing to part with the relatively modest sum of US\$9,000 for the full note.

That's because the number isn't just plucked out of the air, it's derived from aggregated scores ascribed by each of the analysts, respectively an engineer, a geologist and a metallurgist, to various specific categories including the block model, the mine plan, the mining execution, the ore handling, production of product, quality and costs.

Interested parties will no doubt be curious to know that the Digbee analysis rates the risks surrounding ore handling at the project as "high", but that the risks surrounding the mining execution are "low."

Overall the project scores twice in the "high" risk categories, three times in the "medium" risk categories and three times in the "low" risk categories.

All told, that probably adds up to a relatively reassuring picture for fans of Brucejack, although the detailed analysis of how these scores were arrived at certainly bears drilling down into.

"Given the complexity of the mineralization, and despite the improved resource definition, grades will," says the Digbee note at one point, "continue to be volatile and operational results will vary from quarter to quarter."

Elsewhere the note talks about costs in terms of the traditionally high grades encountered at Brucejack: "It is important to review cost performance in terms of US\$/t and US\$/oz, as higher operating costs (US\$/t) can also easily be masked by the operation's high-grade profile."

And the report always has the complexity of the geology in mind: "It is noted that the mineral resource estimate still needs to be reviewed and updated after a number of years of production. This suggests that even though significant effort has been spent on drilling to obtain a robust estimate, the geological model is still difficult to definitively construct."

Full details can be found at <https://thedigbee.com/project/brucejack>

Proactive Investors facilitate the largest global investor network across 4 continents in 4 languages. With a team of analysts, journalists & professional investors Proactive produce independent coverage on 1000's of companies across every sector for private investors, private client brokers, fund managers and international investor communities.

Contact us +44 (0)207 989 0813 [action@proactiveinvestors.com](mailto:action@proactiveinvestors.com)

### No investment advice

The Company is a publisher. You understand and agree that no content published on the Site constitutes a recommendation that any particular security, portfolio of securities, transaction, or investment strategy is suitable or advisable for any specific person. You understand that the Content on the Site is provided for information purposes only, and none of the information contained on the Site constitutes an offer, solicitation or recommendation to buy or sell a security. You understand that the Company receives either monetary or securities compensation for our services. We stand to benefit from any volume which any Content on the Site may generate.

You further understand that none of the information providers or their affiliates will advise you personally concerning the nature, potential, advisability, value, suitability or profitability of any particular security, portfolio of securities, transaction, investment, investment strategy, or other matter.

You understand that the Site may contain opinions from time to time with regard to securities mentioned in other products, including Company-related products, and that those opinions may be different from those obtained by using another product related to the Company. You understand and agree that contributors may write about securities in which they or their firms have a position, and that they may trade such securities for their own account. In cases where the position is held at the time of publication and such position is known to the Company, appropriate disclosure is made. However, you understand and agree that at the time of any transaction that you make, one or more contributors may have a position in the securities written about. You understand that price and other data is supplied by sources believed to be reliable, that the calculations herein are made using such data, and that neither such data nor such calculations are guaranteed by these sources, the Company, the information providers or any other person or entity, and may not be complete or accurate.

From time to time, reference may be made in our marketing materials to prior articles and opinions we have published. These references may be selective, may reference only a portion of an article or recommendation, and are likely not to be current. As markets change continuously, previously published information and data may not be current and should not be relied upon.

The Site does not, and is not intended to, provide investment, tax, accounting, legal or insurance advice, and is not and should not be construed as providing any of the foregoing. You should consult an attorney or other relevant professional regarding your specific legal, tax, investment or other needs as tailored to your specific situation.

In exchange for publishing services rendered by the Company on behalf of Digbee named herein, including the promotion by the Company of Digbee in any Content on the Site, the Company receives from said issuer annual aggregate cash compensation in the amount up to Twenty Five Thousand dollars (\$25,000).